Understanding the nucleon structure: basic formalism, modern tools and open questions

Egle Tomasi-Gustafsson

CEA, IRFU, DPhN and Université Paris-Saclay, France Egle.Tomasi@cea.fr





Part I

- Introduction
 - Motivation and scales
- Phenomenology
 - Elementary reactions
 - kinematics, crossing symmetry
 - Elastic scattering
 - Jlab and the GEp experiment
- Applications
 - Polarization
 - The proton radius problem

Part II

- Phenomenology
 - Elementary reactions
 - Annihilation reactions
 - The PANDA experiment
- Form factors in annihilation and scattering: understanding how matter is formed







Part I

- Introduction
 - Motivation and scales
- Phenomenology
 - Elementary reactions
 - kinematics, crossing symmetry
 - Elastic scattering
 - Jlab and the GEp experiment
- Applications
 - Polarization
 - The proton radius problem





Scales



10⁻¹⁵ m 10⁻¹² m 10⁻⁹ m 10⁻⁶ m 10⁻³ m 1 m





Particle, Nuclear, Hadronic physics



Particle, Nuclear, Hadronic physics



What are the costituents of matter?

From the 4 elements: Air, Water, Earth, Fire....



(470-360 BC) Democritus : atoms and vacuum discretization, mechanicism

(1564-1642 AD) Galileo : scientific method observation, hypothesis, experiment and formalize in mathematical form







XX century: Discovery of new particles: electron, proton, neutrino, muon, mesons, baryons...

even too many ...



Cloud chamber

Classification with quarks and leptons (Standard Model)







The proton

- Hadrons : the most part of visible matter
- Proton is the the most common particle in nature
- Its fundamental properties as
 - Mass
 - Size
 - Spin

are still object of controversy





CRS



The proton MASS



Mp=938,2720 MeV/c²



Mass u-quark=1.5-4 MeV/c² d-quark=4-8 MeV/c²





The Proton Size (Radius)



Cea

The proton electromagnetic structure (I)

Who carries the proton spin?



The proton electromagnetic structure (II)



Cez

Elementary Reactions



Cea

Elementary reactions

e⁻(k₁) + p(p₁) → e⁻(k₂) + p(p₂) Scattering
p(p₁) +
$$\overline{p}(-p_2)$$
 → e⁻(k₂) + e⁺(-k₁)
e⁻(k₁) + e⁺(-k₂) → $\overline{p}(-p_1)$ + p(p₂) Annihilation
e⁻(k₁) + e⁺(-k₂) → $\overline{p}(-p_1)$ + p(p₂)
The interaction occurs through the exchange
of a virtual photon of 'mass' Q²
Q²=t=(k₁-k₂)² : *t*-channel
Q²=s = (k₁+p₁)²: *s*-channel
Q²=s = (k₁+p₁)²: *s*-channel
Crossed channels:
- described by the same amplitude *f(s,t)*
- a particle becomes antiparticle
- 4-momenta change sign
- scan different kinematical regions





p

p

p

Mandelstam Variables

 $e^{-}(k_1) + p(p_1) \rightarrow e^{-}(k_2) + p(p_2)$

Mandelstam variables: Lorentz invariants!

Total energy: $s=(k_1+p_1)^2$ Transferred momentum (1-3): $t=(k_1-k_2)^2$ Transferred momentum (1-4): $u=(k_1-p_2)^2$ $s+t+u=\Sigma m_i^2$





(1928-2016)

Exercise

- $\tilde{\theta}$: emission angle of the proton produced in annihilation (CMS system)
- θ : scattered electron angle in ep elastic scattering (LAB system)
- Express as function of invariants
- Prove that:

 $\cos^{2}\tilde{\theta} = 1 + \frac{st + (s - M^{2})^{2}}{t(\frac{t}{4} - M^{2})} \rightarrow 1 + \frac{ctg^{2}\frac{\theta}{2}}{1 + \tau} \tau \tau \tau Q^{2}/(4M^{2})$





$$e^{-}(k_1) + p(p_1) \rightarrow e^{-}(k_2) + p(p_2)$$

transition rate : $N_F = \sigma N_b N_T$

• The cross section can be understood as 'an effective area' over which the incident particle reacts

Dimensions $[L^2]$: cm², or barn 1 barn=10⁻²⁸ m²

• Luminosity \mathcal{L} : operative definition, useful for counting rate estimates

$$\mathcal{L} = N_{b}[s^{-1}] N_{T} [cm^{-2}], dN_{f}/dt [s^{-1}] = \sigma \mathcal{L}$$





Cross section (Th)

A relativistic definition of σ must

- imply relativistic kinematics ;
- have relativistic invariant form ;
- M= f (s, t, u) be expressed as a function of relativistic invariants

$$\mathbf{d} \mathbf{\sigma} = (2\pi)^4 \,\delta^4(\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_4) \,|\mathbf{M}|^2 \mathbf{d} \mathcal{P} / \mathbf{I}$$

4 terms :

- The matrix element M, contains the dynamics of the reaction, calculated following a model ;
- The flux of colliding particles I;
- The phase space for the final particles, $d\mathcal{P}$;
- Contains the conservation of four-momentum :
 - $\delta^4(p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4)$ (product of four δ functions)







The incident flux

Two equivalent expressions

LAB system: $p_1 = (E_1, \vec{p_1}), p_2 = (M_2, 0),$

$$\mathcal{I} = n_B n_T v_{rel},$$

Density > energy
 $n_B = 2E_1, n_T = 2M_2.$
 $|\vec{v}_{rel}| = |\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2| = \frac{|\vec{p}_1|}{E_1}$
 $\mathcal{I} = 2E_1 2M_2 \frac{|\vec{p}_1|}{E_1} = 4M_2 |\vec{p}_1|$

$$\mathcal{I} = 4\sqrt{(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - M_1^2 M_2^2},$$

$$(p_1 \cdot p_2)^2 - M_1^2 M_2^2 = M_2^2 E_1^2 - M_1^2 M_2^2$$
$$= M_2^2 (E_1^2 - M_1^2) = M_2^2 |\mathbf{p}_1|^2$$

$$\mathcal{I} = 4M_2 |\vec{p_1}|$$



The phase space

$$d\mathcal{P} = \int \frac{d^4p \ \delta(p^2 - M^2)}{(2\pi)^3} \Theta(E),$$

QM definition of number of states in the unit volume (3dim)

Extracting the term that depends on energy:

$$d^4p \ \delta(p^2 - M^2) = \delta^3 \vec{p} dE \delta(E^2 - \vec{p}^2 - M^2), \quad f(E) = E^2 - \vec{p}^2 - M^2,$$

Properties of δ function, x_i are the root of f(x):

$$\int \delta[f(x)]dx = \sum rac{1}{|f'(x_i)|},$$

$$\int dE\delta(E^2 - \vec{p}^2 - M^2)\Theta(E) = \frac{1}{2E}.$$

Phase space for $1+2 \rightarrow 3+4$:

$$d\mathcal{P} = rac{d^3 ec{p_3}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} rac{d^3 ec{p_4}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4}.$$





The cross section

$$\sigma = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{\mathcal{I}} \int |\mathcal{M}|^2 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4) \frac{d^3 \vec{p_3}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_3} \frac{d^3 \vec{p_4}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_4}.$$





Proton radius & charge form factor

The amplitude of the scattered wave in the point defined by \vec{r} is :

$$A_i = fe_i e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{\rho}_i} e^{i\vec{k}'\cdot(\vec{r}-\vec{\rho}_i)} = fe^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{r}} e_i e^{iq\cdot\vec{\rho}_i}$$

The total scattered amplitude on the nucleus is the sum of the amplitudes on the individual charges :

$$A = \sum_{i} A_{i} = f e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \sum_{i} e_{i} e^{i q \cdot \vec{\rho}_{i}}, \ f \simeq Z_{a} e.$$

 $\vec{\rho_i}$ Position operator of the internal motion in the target \rightarrow Mean value in the ground state of the target Definition of form factor

$$F(\vec{q}) = rac{1}{Z_b e} < i |\sum_i e_i e^{i \vec{q} \cdot \vec{
ho}_i}| i >$$

Definition of cross section

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right) = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{pl} |F(\vec{q})|^2,$$

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{pl} = (Z_b e)^2 |f|^2 \propto (Z_a Z_b e^2)^2$$



Inverting the definition: Mean value of the charge density

$$\rho(\vec{x}) = \langle \Psi_i | \hat{\rho}(\vec{x}) | \Psi_i \rangle = \frac{Z_2 e}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 q F(\vec{q}) e^{-i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}}.$$

In practice one assumes a charge density, Fourier transform, fits the function on experimental data





Root mean square radius <r²>

Taylor expansion:

$$F(\vec{q}) = \frac{1}{Z_b e} \int d^3 \vec{x} e^{i \vec{q} \cdot \vec{x}} \rho(\vec{x})$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z_b e} \int d^3 \vec{x} \left[1 + i \vec{q} \cdot \vec{x} - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{q} \cdot \vec{x})^2 + \dots \right] \rho(\vec{x}) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z_b e} \int_0^\infty x^2 dx \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi$$

$$\int_{-1}^1 d\cos\theta \left[1 + i qx \cos\theta - \frac{1}{2} q^2 x^2 \cos^2\theta \right] \rho(\vec{x})$$

1.
$$\int_{\Omega} d^3 \vec{x} \rho(\vec{x}) = Z_b e.$$

2.
$$\int_{-1}^{1} \cos \theta d \cos \theta = 0$$

wave function is even with respect to space parity

 $\langle r_c^2 \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty x^4 \rho(x) dx}{\int_0^\infty x^2 \rho(x) dx}.$

Assuming spherical symmetry

$$F(q) \sim 1 - \frac{1}{6}q^2 < r_c^2 > +O(q^2),$$

$$\langle r_{E/M}^2 \rangle = -\frac{6\hbar^2}{G_{E/M}(0)} \frac{dG_{E/M}(Q^2)}{dQ^2} \Big|_{Q^2=0}.$$

RMS is the limit of the form factor derivative for Q²->0



Root mean square radius

In *non-relativistic approach* (and also in relativistic but in *Breit frame*) FFs are Fourier transform of the density

ourier transfor	m of the density		
density	Form factor	r.m.s.	comments
$\rho(r)$	$F(q^2)$	$< r_{c}^{2} >$	
δ	1	0	pointlike
e^{-ar}	$\frac{a^4}{(q^2+a^2)^2}$	$\frac{12}{a^2}$	dipole
$\frac{e^{-ar}}{r}$	$\frac{a^2}{q^2 + a^2}$	$\frac{6}{a^2}$	monopole
$\frac{e^{-ar^2}}{r^2}$	$e^{-q^2/(4a^2)}$	$\frac{1}{2a}$	gaussian
$\rho_0 \text{for } x \le R$	$\frac{3(\sin X - X\cos X)}{X^3}$	$\frac{3}{5}R^2$	square well
$\frac{e^{-ar^2}}{r^2}$ $\rho_0 \text{for } x \le R$ $0 \text{for } r \ge R$	$\frac{e^{-q^2/(4a^2)}}{3(\sin X - X\cos X)}$ $\frac{3(\sin X - X\cos X)}{X^3}$ $X = qR$	$\frac{\frac{1}{2a}}{\frac{3}{5}R^2}$	gaussia square v

F(q)

 $\int_{\Omega} d^3 \vec{x} e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{x}}$



Elementary reactions





W. Pauli (1902-1984)

Cez

- Disentangle reaction mechanism and internal structure
 - The electron vertex is known
 - The virtual photon propagator $1/q^2$
 - The proton structure is contained in the vertex $\gamma^{\star}pp$ Pauli and Dirac form factors
- The EM Lepton current

nt
$$\ell_{\mu}=\overline{u}(k_2)\gamma_{\mu}u(k_1)$$

The EM Hadron current

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\mu} &= \overline{u}(p_2) \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma_{\mu} - \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m} F_2(q^2) \right] u(p_1) \\ \sigma_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

• A simpler form: $(p_1 + p_2)$

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mu} = \overline{u}(p_2)[(F_1 + F_2)\gamma_{\mu} - \frac{(p_1 + p_2)_{\mu}}{2m}F_2]u(p_1)$$



P.A.M. Dirac (1902-1984)

 $\mathcal{M} = \frac{e^2}{a^2} \ell_{\mu} \mathcal{J}_{\mu} = \frac{e^2}{a^2} \ell \cdot \mathcal{J}$ Matrix element



6



The Matrix Element Squared

The matrix element squared

$$\overline{\left|\mathcal{M}
ight|^2} = \left(rac{e^2}{q^2}
ight)^2 \overline{\left|\ell\cdot\mathcal{J}
ight|^2} = \left(rac{e^2}{q^2}
ight)^2 L_{\mu
u}W_{\mu
u},$$

• The leptonic tensor
$$L_{\mu\nu} = \overline{\ell_{\mu}\ell_{\nu}^*}$$

• The hadronic tensor $W_{\mu\nu} = \overline{\mathcal{J}_{\mu}\mathcal{J}_{\nu}^*}$

Relativistic invariants: can be calculated in any system:

- The experiment is in Lab system
- The theorie: any system







G. Breit (1899-1981)

Cez



(unpolarized) Lepton tensor

$$\overline{u} = u^{\dagger} \gamma_0, \ \overline{u}^{\dagger} = (u^{\dagger} \gamma_0)^{\dagger} = \gamma_0^{\dagger} u = \gamma_0 u, \ \gamma_0 \gamma_0 = 1, \ \gamma_0^{\dagger} = \gamma_0,$$

$$L_{\mu\nu} = \overline{\ell_{\mu}\ell_{\nu}^{*}} = \overline{u}(k_{2})\gamma_{\mu}u(k_{1})[\overline{u}(k_{2})\gamma_{\nu}u(k_{1})]^{*}.$$

$$= \overline{u}(k_{2})\gamma_{\mu}u(k_{1})u^{\dagger}(k_{1})\gamma_{\nu}^{\dagger}\overline{u}(k_{2})$$

$$= \overline{u}(k_{2})\gamma_{\mu}u(k_{1})u^{\dagger}(k_{1})\gamma_{0}\gamma_{0}\gamma_{\nu}^{\dagger}\gamma_{0}u(k_{2})$$

$$= \overline{u}(k_{2})\gamma_{\mu}u(k_{1})u^{\dagger}(k_{1})\gamma_{\nu}^{\dagger}\gamma_{0}u(k_{2}) = \frac{1}{2}Tr\gamma_{\mu}\rho_{1}\gamma_{\nu}\rho_{2}.$$

$$\begin{split} \rho &= \overline{u}(k)u^{\dagger}(k) = \hat{k} + m_e, \\ Tr\gamma_a\gamma_b &= 4g_{ab} \ (g_{ab} = 1, \text{ for } a, b = 0 \ g_{ab} = -1, \text{ for } a, b = x, y, z; \\ Tr\gamma_a\gamma_b\gamma_c\gamma_d &= 4(g_{ab}g_{cd} + g_{bc}g_{da} - g_{ac}g_{bd}) \end{split}$$

$$L_{\mu\nu} = 2k_{1\mu}k_{2\nu} + 2k_{1\nu}k_{2\mu} + 2g_{\mu\nu}(m^2 - k_1 \cdot k_2)$$

Using that $k_1 = q + k_2$; $q^2 = 2(m^2 - k_1 \cdot k_2)$ we find :

$$L_{\mu\nu} = 2k_{1\mu}k_{2\nu} + 2k_{1\nu}k_{2\mu} + g_{\mu\nu}q^2.$$

symmetric tensor





The (unpolarized) Hadronic Tensor

In the Breit system, the hadronic tensor has a simple physical meaning in terms of the Sachs FFs, linear combinations of Dirac and Pauli FFs.

Let us write $\mathcal{J}_{\mu} = \chi_2^{\dagger} F_{\mu} \chi_1$ $F_{\mu} = 2mG_E, \ \mu = 0$ $F_{\mu} = i\vec{\sigma} \times \mathbf{q_B}G_M, \ \mu = x, y, z.$ $F_{\mu} = \begin{cases} 2mG_E &, \mu = 0\\ i\sqrt{-q^2}G_M\sigma_y &, \mu = x\\ -i\sqrt{-q^2}G_M\sigma_x &, \mu = y\\ 0 &, \mu = z \end{cases}$

The hadronic tensor $W_{\mu\nu}$:

$$W_{\mu\nu} = \overline{(\chi_2^{\dagger}F_{\mu}\chi_1)(\chi_1^{\dagger}F_{\nu}^{\dagger}\chi_2)} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} F_{\mu}\rho_1 F_{\nu}^{\dagger}\rho_2;$$

In case of unpolarized particles $\rho = \mathcal{I}$, and

$$W_{\mu
u}=rac{1}{2}\, extsf{Tr} extsf{F}_{\mu} extsf{F}_{
u}^{\dagger}.$$

R.G. Sachs (1916-1999)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} G_{M} = F_{1} + F_{2} \\ G_{E} = F_{1} - \tau F_{2} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} F_{1} = \frac{G_{E} + \tau G_{M}}{1 + \tau} \\ F_{2} = \frac{G_{M} - G_{E}}{1 + \tau} \end{array}$$

Overline:

average over the spins of the *initial* state *sum* over the spins of the *final* state From the *Fermi Golden Rule* that gives the transition probability between two states



The Rosenbluth Formula

• The terms containing the product $G_E G_M$ vanish $Tr\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$, the unpolarized cross section does not contain interference terms

In the Lab system :

$$\overline{|\mathcal{M}|^2} = \left(\frac{e^2}{q^2}\right)^2 4m^2(-q^2) \left[2\tau G_M^2 + \frac{\cot^2\frac{\theta_e}{2}}{1+\tau}(G_E^2 + \tau G_M^2)\right]$$

The differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_e} = \frac{\alpha^2}{-q^2} \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1}\right)^2 \left[2\tau G_M^2 + \frac{\cot^2\frac{\theta_e}{2}}{1+\tau} \left(G_E^2 + \tau G_M^2\right)\right],$$



M. Rosenbluth (1927-2003)

where $\alpha = e^2/4\pi \simeq 1/137$ is the fine structure constant.

<u>Exercice</u>

Derive the relation between the electron scattering angle in the Breit and Laboratory system

$$\cot^2 \frac{\theta_B}{2} = \frac{\cot^2 \theta_e/2}{1+\tau}.$$



The Rosenbluth separation

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_e} = \frac{\alpha^2}{-q^2} \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1}\right)^2 \left[2\tau G_M^2 + \frac{\cot^2\frac{\theta_e}{2}}{1+\tau} \left(G_E^2 + \tau G_M^2\right)\right],$$



The backward eN-scattering (θ_e = π, cot² θ_e/2 = 0) is determined by the magnetic FF only,

• The slope of σ_{red} is sensitive to G_E^2

Cez



Summary: ep-elastic scattering



Cez

Proton Form Factors...in last century

 $G_M/\mu=G_E=G_D=(1+Q^2/0.71 \text{ GeV}^2)^{-2}$ dipole approximation



Rosenbluth separation/ Polarization observables



Egle Tomasi-Gustafsson



Dipole Approximation $G_D = (1+Q^2/0.71 \text{ GeV}^2)^{-2}$

• Classical approach

 Nucleon FF (in non relativistic approximation or in the <u>Breit</u> <u>system</u>) are Fourier transform of the charge or magnetic distribution.

$$P_{I}(\mathbf{q}_{B} / 2)$$

$$\gamma^{*}(\mathbf{q}_{B})$$

$$= P_{2}(\mathbf{q}_{B} / 2)$$

$$Breit system$$

 The dipole approximation corresponds to exponential density distribution.

$$-\rho = \rho_0 \exp(-r/r_0)$$

-
$$r_0^2$$
= (0.24 fm)², < r^2 > ~(0.81 fm) ² ↔ m_D^2 =0.71 GeV²





Dipole Approximation and pQCD

Dimensional scaling



- $-F_{n}(Q^{2})=C_{n}[1/(1+Q^{2}/m_{n})^{n-1}],$ • $m_{n}=n\beta^{2}$, <quark momentum squared>
 - n is the number of constituent quarks
- Setting $\beta^2 = (0.471 \pm .010) \text{ GeV}^2$ (fitting pion data)
 - pion: F_{π} (Q²)= C_{π} [1/ (1+Q²/0.471 GeV²)¹],
 - nucleon: F_N (Q²)= C_N [1/(1+Q²/0.71 GeV²)²],
 - deuteron: F_d (Q²)= C_d [1/(1+Q²/1.41GeV²)⁵]

V. A. Matveev, R. M. Muradian, and A. N. Tavkhelidze (1973), Brodsky and Farrar (1973), Politzer (1974), Chernyak & Zhitnisky (1984), Efremov & Radyuskin (1980)...



Dipole Approximation

Does not hold in SL region: neither for GE



The GEP collaboration, A.J.R. Puckett et al, PRC96(2017)055203

...nor for GM

R. Taylor, SLAC, 1967 S. Pacetti, E.T-G, PRC94, 055202 (2016)



...and in TL?

Cea



PHYSICS

POLARIZATION PHENOMENA IN ELECTRON SCATTERING BY PROTONS IN THE HIGH-ENERGY REGION

Academician A. I. Akhiezer* and M. P. Rekalo

Physicotechnical Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Ukrainian SSR Translated from Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, Vol. 180, No. 5, pp. 1081-1083, June, 1968 Original article submitted February 26, 1967

M.P. Rekalo (1938-2004)



$$s_{2} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{R}} = 4p_{2} \frac{(s \cdot q)}{1 + \tau} \Gamma (\theta, \epsilon_{1}) \left[\tau G_{M} (G_{M} + G_{E}) - \frac{1}{4\epsilon_{1}} G_{M} (G_{E} - \tau G_{M}) \right],$$



A.I. Akhiezer (1911-2000)

The polarization induces a term in the cross section proportional to $G_E G_M$ *Polarized beam and target or polarized beam and recoil proton polarization*



Egle Tomasi-Gustafsson



Polarized Hadron tensor

In general the hadronic tensor $W_{\mu\nu}$, for *ep* elastic scattering, contains four terms, related to the 4 possibilities of polarizing the initial and final protons :

$$W_{\mu\nu} = W^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + W_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{P_1}) + W_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{P_2}) + W_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{P_1},\mathbf{P_2}),$$

 P_1 (P_2) is the polarization vector of the initial (final) proton. The 2 × 2 density matrix for a nucleon with polarization P:

$$ho = rac{1}{2} \left(1 + ec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{P}
ight)$$

Polarized final proton $(\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P_2})$:

$$W_{\mu
u}(\mathbf{P}) = rac{1}{2} Tr F_{\mu} F_{
u}^{\dagger} ec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{P}$$

For longitudinally polarized electrons on unpolarized target, only $P_x \neq 0$ and $P_z \neq 0$.





Polarized Hadron tensor Px

$$W_{\mu\nu}(P_x) = \frac{1}{2} Tr F_{\mu} F_{\nu}^{\dagger} \sigma_x$$

F_{μ}	$F_{ u}^{\dagger}$	$F_{ u}^{\dagger}\sigma_{x}$	ν
2mG _E	2mG _E	$2mG_E\sigma_x$	0
$i\sqrt{-q^2}G_M\sigma_y$	$-i\sqrt{-q^2}G_M\sigma_y$	$-\sqrt{-q^2}G_M\sigma_z$	x
$-i\sqrt{-q^2}G_M\sigma_x$	$i\sqrt{-q^2}G_M\sigma_x$	i $\sqrt{-q^2}G_M$	У
0	0	0	Ζ

 $(\sigma_i \sigma_j = i \sigma_k, \sigma_y \sigma_x = -i \sigma_z)$ The nonzero components of $W_{\mu\nu}(P_x)$ are :

$$W_{0y}(P_x) = iq^2 2mG_E G_M,$$

$$W_{y0}(P_x) = -iq^2 G_E G_M,$$





Polarized Hadron tensor Pz

The polarized tensor

$$W_{\mu\nu}(P_z) = rac{1}{2} Tr F_{\mu} F_{\nu}^{\dagger} \sigma_z.$$



•
$$W_{0
u}(P_z) = W_{
u 0}(P_z) = 0$$
, for any u ,

- ▶ No interference term $G_E G_M$.
- The nonzero components of $W_{\mu\nu}(P_z)$ are :

$$W_{xy}(P_z) = -iq^2 G_M^2,$$

$$W_{yx}(P_z) = iq^2 G_M^2,$$



Polarized Lepton tensor

- for longitudinally polarized electrons, one defines the helicity $\lambda = \pm 1$ (\rightarrow spin parallel or antiparallel to the electron three-momentum).

The general expression for the leptonic tensor is :

$$L_{\mu\nu} = L^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + L_{\mu\nu}(\lambda_1) + L_{\mu\nu}(\lambda_2) + L_{\mu\nu}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2).$$

Initial electron polarized : $\lambda_1 = \lambda$:

$$L_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} Tr \gamma_{\mu} \hat{k}_{1} \gamma_{\nu} \hat{k}_{2} \gamma_{5} = -\frac{1}{2} Tr \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \hat{k}_{1} \hat{k}_{2} \gamma_{5} = 2i \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} k_{1\rho} k_{2\sigma}$$
(2)

We use the property of γ_5 : $Tr\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\gamma_\rho\gamma_\sigma\gamma_5 = -4i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$.

$$L_{\mu\nu}(\lambda) = 2i\lambda\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}k_{1\alpha}k_{2\beta}.$$

Polarized electron: antisymmetric tensor

If the initial proton is unpolarized (symmetric tensor): no single spin polarization (as long as FFs are real) *Only double spin observables in ep scattering*

-27



Recoil Proton Polarization (Px,Pz)

$$L_{\mu\nu}(\lambda)W_{\mu\nu}(P_x) = L_{0y}(\lambda)W_{0y}(P_x) + L_{y0}(\lambda)W_{y0}(P_x)$$

= $L_{0y}(\lambda)[W_{0y}(P_x) - W_{y0}(P_x)]$
= $2L_{0y}(\lambda)W_{0y}(P_x).$

 $L_{0y} = 2i\lambda\epsilon_{0y\alpha\beta}k_{1\alpha}k_{2\beta} \rightarrow \text{only non-zero terms for } \alpha = x \text{ and } \beta = z \text{ or } \alpha = z \text{ and } \beta = x.$

$$L_{0y}(\lambda) = 2i\lambda \left(\epsilon_{0yxz}k_{1x}k_{2z} + \epsilon_{0yzx}k_{1z}k_{2x}\right)$$

= $2i\lambda\epsilon_{0yxz}(k_{1x}k_{2z} - k_{1z}k_{2x}) = i\lambda q^2 \cot \frac{\theta_B}{2},$

with $\epsilon_{0yxz} = 1$.

$$L_{\mu
u}(\lambda)W_{\mu
u}(P_x) = -4\lambda mq^2\sqrt{-q^2}\cotrac{ heta_B}{2}G_EG_M.$$

$$L_{\mu\nu}(\lambda)W_{\mu\nu}(P_z) = 2i\lambda\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}k_{1\alpha}k_{2\beta}W_{\mu\nu}(P_z) = 4\epsilon_{xy0z}W_{xy}(P_z)(\epsilon_{1B}k_{2B}^z - \epsilon_{2B}k_{1B}^z) = 4\lambda q^2 \frac{G_M^2}{\sin\theta_B/2}.$$

Px

Pz





The polarization **P** of the scattered proton can be written as :

$$\mathbf{P}\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_e} = \frac{\alpha^2}{4\pi^2} \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1}\right)^2 \frac{L_{\mu\nu}}{m^2} \vec{P}_{\mu\nu}.$$

with $\vec{P}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (Tr \mathcal{F}_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\nu}^{\dagger} \vec{\sigma})$, so that $P_{\mu\nu}^{(z)} = W_{\mu\nu}(P_z)$ and $P_{\mu\nu}^{(x)} = W_{\mu\nu}(P_x)$ The components P_x and P_z of the proton polarization vector

(in the scattering plane) are

$$DP_{x} = -2\lambda \cot \frac{\theta_{e}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{1+\tau}} G_{E} G_{M},$$

$$DP_{z} = \lambda \frac{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}}{m} \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{1+\tau}} G_{M}^{2}, \quad D = 2\tau G_{M}^{2} + \cot^{2} \frac{\theta_{e}}{2} \frac{G_{E}^{2}+\tau G_{M}^{2}}{1+\tau}.$$

$$\frac{P_x}{P_z} = \frac{P_t}{P_\ell} = -2\cot\frac{\theta_e}{2}\frac{m}{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}\frac{G_E(q^2)}{G_M(q^2)}$$

Recoil proton polarization in ep elastic scattering with longitudinally polarized electron beam





Polarized target

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_e}(\mathcal{P}) = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_e}\right)_0 \left(1 + \lambda \mathcal{P}_x A_x + \lambda \mathcal{P}_z A_z\right),$$

 \mathcal{P} : polarization vector of the target

Analyzing power: same value as the recoil proton polarization *P* but opposite sign

$$\begin{array}{ll} A_x &= P_x, \\ A_z &= -P_z. \end{array}$$





PHYSICS

POLARIZATION PHENOMENA IN ELECTRON SCATTERING BY PROTONS IN THE HIGH-ENERGY REGION

Academician A. I. Akhiezer* and M. P. Rekalo

Physicotechnical Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Ukrainian SSR Translated from Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, Vol. 180, No. 5, pp. 1081-1083, June, 1968 Original article submitted February 26, 1967

M.P. Rekalo (1938-2004)



$$s_{2} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{R}} = 4p_{2} \frac{(s \cdot q)}{1 + \tau} \Gamma (\theta, \epsilon_{1}) \left[\tau G_{M} (G_{M} + G_{E}) - \frac{1}{4\epsilon_{1}} G_{M} (G_{E} - \tau G_{M}) \right],$$

 $e^{e^{\gamma}} \stackrel{\theta}{\stackrel{e}{\xrightarrow{}}} \stackrel{P_{T}}{\xrightarrow{}} \stackrel{P_{L}}{\xrightarrow{}} \stackrel{P_{L}} \stackrel{P_{L}}{\xrightarrow{}} \stackrel{P_{L}} \stackrel{P_{L}}{\xrightarrow{}} \stackrel{P_{L}} \stackrel{P_{L}}{\xrightarrow{}} \stackrel{P_{L}} \stackrel{P_$



A.I. Akhiezer (1911-2000)

The polarization induces a term in the cross section proportional to $G_E G_M$ *Polarized beam and target or polarized beam and recoil proton polarization*

Egle Tomasi-Gustafsson

Polarization experiments

A.I. Akhiezer and M.P. Rekalo, 1967

Jlab-GEp collaboration

- 1) "standard" dipole function for the nucleon magnetic FFs GMp and GMn
- 2) linear deviation from the dipole function for the electric proton FF Gep
- 3) QCD scaling not reached
- 3) Zero crossing of Gep?
- 4) contradiction between polarized and unpolarized measurements



A.J.R. Puckett et al, PRL (2010), PRC (2012)



Issues

 Some models (IJL 73, Di-quark, soliton..) predicted such behavior before the data appeared

BUT

- Simultaneous description of the four nucleon form factors...
- ...in the space-like and in the time-like regions
- Consequences for the light ions description
- When pQCD starts to apply?
- Source of the discrepancy





The experimental tools



W. Pauli, N. Bohr



MAYNETIC DISCUSSION

Bandlaunch

- Accelerators or colliders
- Large acceptance spectrometers and 4π Detectors

Polarization

- Polarized beams
- Polarized targets
- Polarimeters
 - Beam polarimeters
 - Polarized proton polarimeters

At each energy (experiment) its own polarimeter
Polarization experiments are difficult ant time consuming.
The same physical information with polarized target or polarimeter ..but polarimeters are in gen<u>eral superior</u>





Virginia, Newport News,Norfolk 1.5 Km long 4, 6 GeV electron beam Highly polarized 0.1 mm e⁻ beam size Upgraded to 11 GeV







Hall A Jlab Spectrometer HMS

Characteristics

- Momentum [GeV/c] 4 10
- Acceptance [%]
- Resolution $\Delta p/p$ 10-4
- Angular range [deg] 12.5-165
 - Solid angle [msr] 7.8

View of Hall A Machines



Hall A Jlab Focal plane polarimeter





Egle Tomasi-Gustafsson

Outlook - Experiments in Halls A, B, and C at 12 GeV



Neutron Form factors



E12-07-108 GMp elastic p(e,e')p cross section (2%) using High Resolution Spectrometer, max Q2 = 16 (GeV/c)2 SBS programme of nucleon EMFF measurements E12-09-019 GMn/GMp (by ratio d(e,e'n)/d(e,e'p) method) E12-09-016 GEn/GMn (with polarized beam & target) E12-07-109 GEp/GMp (with polarized beam & recoil polarimetry) E12-17-004 GEn/GMn (with polarized beam & recoil polarimetry)





0.1

0.0

-0.1

 Q^2 , GeV²



Spin & Polarization (I)

- Spin is a fundamental property that characterizes a particles (like mass, charge..)
- A particle of spin S has 2S+1 quantified values of the spin projection: a proton: S=1/2, two spin states: ±1/2 up (↑) and down (↓)
- A proton beam is not polarized when the two spin directions are equally probable
- The vector polarization is defined as the difference of population of states up and down – normalized to the sum

$$P_{y} = \frac{N(+1/2) - N(-1/2)}{N(+1/2) + N(-1/2)}$$

Deuteron (S=1) has three quantified values of the spin +1,0,-1

Vector polarization:

Tensor polarization

$$P_V = \frac{N(+1) - N(-1)}{N(+1) + N(-1) + N(0)}$$

$$P_{T} = \frac{N(+1) - 2N(0) + N(-1)}{N(+1) + N(-1) + N(0)}$$



Spin & Polarization (II)

- The wave function of a particle with 0 spin, as α, π, is described by a (pseudo)scalar.
- The wave function of a particle with spin 1/2, as a proton, *is described by a two-component spinor*.
- The wave function of a particle with spin 1, as a deuteron, *is described by a three-component vector*.
- The density matrix is an average on an ensemble of particles, quadratic in the wave functions.
 - If a beam is unpolarized it is a diagonal, unit, matrix: all projections are equiprobable.
 - Symmetries apply!
 - Parity and time invariance
 - Polar vectors change sign
 - Spin operators DO NOT(pseudovector)







Hadron Polarimetry

Working principle: measurement of the azymuthal asymmetry in a secondary scattering

Choice of the secondary reaction (1 charged particle+X) large cross section (statistical errors) large analyzing power (systematic errors)

Precision on the track reconstruction Detector alignment:

1 mm by laser

1/10 mm under beam, with particles that do not interact

$$N^{\pm}(\theta,\phi) = N_0(\theta)(1\pm P_y A_y(\theta)\cos\phi),$$

$$R(\theta,\phi) = \frac{N^+(\theta,\phi) - N^-(\theta,\phi)}{N^+(\theta,\phi) + N^-(\theta,\phi)} = a_1(\theta) \cos\phi$$

$$A_y(heta) = rac{a_1(heta)}{P_y}, \ \Delta A_y \simeq rac{1}{P_y} \sqrt{rac{1}{N_{Incident}}}$$

φ (degrees) 1)Calibration : Analyzing powers 2) Measurement : Polarization

Physical Asymmetries at Q^2 of 6.8 and 8.5 GeV2

-0.01

-0.02







270

180

360

Hadron Polarimeter

• The efficiency

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{N_{useful}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{N_{incident}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}$$

• The figure of merit:

$$\mathcal{F}^2 = \sum_{\theta} \epsilon(\theta) \mathcal{A}^2(\theta)$$

• The error on the polarization measurement

$$\Delta P = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N_{incident}(\theta)\mathcal{F}^2}}$$





The ALPOM2 Experiment

Polarized proton and neutron beams



Cez

Neutron Form factors

asymmetry



E12-07-108 GMp elastic p(e,e')p cross section (2%) using High Resolution Spectrometer, max Q2 = 16 (GeV/c)2 SBS programme of nucleon EMFF measurements E12-09-019 GMn/GMp (by ratio d(e,e'n)/d(e,e'p) method) E12-09-016 GEn/GMn (with polarized beam & target) E12-07-109 GEp/GMp (with polarized beam & recoil polarimetry) E12-17-004 GEn/GMn (with polarized beam & recoil polarimetry)







Current conservation



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\mu} &= \overline{u}(p_2) \left[F_1(q^2) \gamma_{\mu} - \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2m} F_2(q^2) \right] u(p_1), \\ \sigma_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} \gamma_{\mu}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Gauge invariance : $\mathcal{J} \cdot q = 0$, for any values of F_1 and F_2 , i.e. the current \mathcal{J}_{μ} is conserved.

- the term $\sigma_{\mu\nu}q_{\mu}q_{\nu}$ vanishes, because it is the product of a symmetrical and antisymmetrical tensors,
- From the Dirac equation : $\overline{u}(p_2)\hat{q}u(p_1) = \overline{u}(p_2)(\hat{p}_2 \hat{p}_1)u(p_1) = \overline{u}(p_2)(m m)u(p_1) = 0.$

Holds when nucleons (in initial and final states) are real, F_1 violates the current conservation if one nucleon is virtual.

$$(\hat{k} - m)u(k) = 0, \quad \hat{k} = k\gamma_{\mu} = E\gamma_0 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \vec{\gamma},$$

C S S



The Dirac Equation

$$(\hat{k}-m)u(k) = 0, \quad \hat{k} = k\gamma_{\mu} = E\gamma_0 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \vec{\gamma},$$

The relativistic description of the spin properties of the particles is based on the Dirac equation.

$$particle \qquad antiparticle \\ (\hat{k} - m)u(k) = 0 \qquad (\hat{k} + m)v(k) = 0 \\ u(k) = \sqrt{E + m} \left(\begin{array}{c} \chi \\ \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}}{E + m} \chi \end{array} \right) \quad v(k) = \sqrt{E + m} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\vec{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{k}}{E + m} \chi \\ \chi \end{array} \right)$$

- $k = (E, \mathbf{k})$ is the particle four momentum
- ▶ u(k) is a four-component Dirac spinor
- χ is a two-component spinor
- Relativistic invariant normalization : $u^{\dagger}u = 2E$.

Changing only $E \rightarrow -E$ and $k \rightarrow -k$ only would be a bad representation as it would create a pole for on mass shell particles.

$$\vec{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ -\vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Dirac matrices

$$egin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \sigma_x = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ \sigma_2 &= \sigma_y = egin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ \sigma_3 &= \sigma_z = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Pauli matrices



The density matrix

The density matrixes for polarized and unpolarized particles and antiparticles : $\rho_{\alpha\beta} = u_{\alpha}(p)u_{\beta}^{\dagger}(p)$:

	particle	antiparticle	
unpolarized	$\hat{p} + m$	$\hat{p}-m$	
polarized	$(\hat{p}+m)rac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5\hat{s})$	$(\hat{p}-m)rac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_5\hat{s})$	

where s_{α} is the four vector of the electron spin.

$$s_{\alpha} = \left(\mathbf{s} + \frac{(\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{p})\mathbf{p}}{m_e(\epsilon + m_e)}, \frac{\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{m_e}\right) \quad s \cdot p = 0, \ s^2 = -1$$

For relativistic electrons, $\epsilon \gg m_e$ $p_{\alpha} = \epsilon(1, 1)$,

$$s_{\alpha} = \frac{\epsilon}{m} s_{\ell}(1,1)$$

 $-\mathbf{1}$: unit vector along \mathbf{p} $-s_\ell = \mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{p}/|\mathbf{p}| \equiv \lambda.$





The density matrix

The density matrices $\rho = u(p)\overline{u}(p)$ for relativistic electrons

$$\begin{split} \rho &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{p} + m_e) \left(1 - \gamma_5 \frac{\hat{p}}{m_e} \lambda \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{p} + m_e) + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\hat{p} + m_e) \frac{\hat{p}}{m_e} \gamma_5 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{p} + m_e) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(p^2 + m_e \hat{p} \right) \frac{1}{m_e} \gamma_5 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\hat{p} + m_e) (1 + \lambda \gamma_5) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \hat{p} (1 + \lambda \gamma_5), \end{split}$$

where we used the following property of the γ_5 -matrix : $\hat{p}\gamma_5 + \gamma_5\hat{p} = 0$, for any four-vector p_{α} .



